PROCEDURES FOR THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES
PERTAINING TO TENURE AND PROMOTION

I. PREPARATORY WORK OF THE COLLEGE PROMOTION AND TENURE COMMITTEE

A. Available to all candidates in the Dean’s Office: Six (6) model vitae (two from each Domain, i.e., Sciences, Social Sciences, and Humanities) of colleagues who have been promoted to Associate Professor and Professor who have granted permission for such inspection by others.

B. Available to all Department Promotion and Tenure (P&T) Committees: Detailed guidelines and information concerning College P&T priorities, concerns, internal procedures, and forms.

C. The Dean will state priorities and concerns pertinent to P&T.

II. PREPARATORY WORK WITHIN DEPARTMENTS

A. Departments will use the approved College P&T vita form.

B. Fourth year candidate tenure review by the College P&T Committee

1) Departments will complete the fourth year tenure review following their departmental procedures for annual tenure review.
2) Departments will complete the standard letter provided by the Provost’s Office and write a strengths and weaknesses letter covering the areas of Teaching, Scholarship, and Service.
3) Departments will forward the standard letter to the Dean’s Office.
4) Departments will forward the candidate’s fourth year review packet to the Dean’s Office. This packet will include copies of the strengths and weaknesses letters (years one through four), and the candidate’s P&T vita. The number of copies of the strengths and weaknesses letters and P&T vita required are dependent on the domain in which the candidate is located. The domains and number of copies required are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>Number of Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>Original plus 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Sciences</td>
<td>Original plus 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>Original plus 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Departments will maintain supporting material during the review process. This material may be requested by the College P&T committee for review.
C. Tenure/Promotion to Associate Professor

1) Tenure/Promotion review by the College P&T Committee (committee of the whole) is mandatory for all faculty in year seven of the probationary period, but only required for candidates in year five or six if a positive recommendation for tenure/promotion has been made by the Department P&T Committee and forwarded to the Dean and College P&T Committee. Tenured assistant professors may apply to their Department P&T Committee for promotion to associate professor at any time.

2) The Department Chairperson will forward to the Dean the alphabetical list of candidates and each candidate’s tenure/promotion recommendation as determined by the Department Promotion and Tenure Committee by the deadline date set by the college.

3) Included with the list shall be each candidate’s P&T vitae, the strengths and weaknesses letters from years one through seven (not required for tenured assistant professors applying for promotion to associate professor), and any supporting supplemental material. Candidates will place supporting materials on file in the Dean’s Office. These materials are to be indexed, and consideration of appropriateness, selectivity, and length are appreciated. Strengths and weaknesses letters are to be placed with the supporting material.

4) If the Department P&T Committee and the Department Chair agree on the tenure/promotion recommendation of a candidate, a single letter, signed by both the P&T Chair and the Department Chair, explaining the recommendation should accompany each candidate’s vitae. If the Department P&T Committee and the Department Chair do not agree on the recommendation, then separate letters, one signed by the Department P&T Chair and one signed by the Department Chair should accompany the candidate’s vitae.

5) The accompanying letter(s) should characterize the candidate’s accomplishments in the context of the department’s expectations and culture and address each of the following areas in a separate paragraph.
   a) Candidate’s major role(s) vis-à-vis department priorities.
   b) Comments on candidate’s teaching.
   c) Comments on candidate’s research/creative projects.
   d) Comments on candidate’s service.
   e) Other dimensions/areas pertaining to the candidate.

D. Promotion to Professor

1) When seeking promotion to Professor, a minimum of two letters from reviewers external to the University shall be included. Department Chairs shall ask external reviewers to describe their relationship, if any, to the candidate. The review letter shall provide the reviewer’s assessment of the quality of the candidate’s scholarship, creative endeavors, and other scholarly productivity. Please refer to the College P&T document for directions on selecting external reviewers and the external review process.

2) All letters received from external reviewers will be available to evaluators in the promotion review process.

3) The Department Chairperson will forward to the Dean the list of candidates being recommended for promotion to professor as determined by the Department Promotion and Tenure Committee by the deadline date set by the college.

4) Included with the list shall be each candidate’s P&T vitae (including copies of the external letters) and any supportive supplemental material. Candidates will place supporting materials on file in the Dean’s Office. These materials are to be indexed, and consideration of appropriateness, selectivity, and length are appreciated.
5) If the Department P&T Committee and the Department Chair agree on the promotion recommendation of a candidate, a single letter, signed by both the P&T Chair and the Department Chair, explaining the recommendation should accompany each candidate’s vitae. If the Department P&T Committee and the Department Chair do not agree on the recommendation, then separate letters, one signed by the Department P&T Chair and one signed by the Department Chair should accompany the candidate’s vitae.

6) The accompanying letter(s) should characterize the candidate’s accomplishments in the context of the department’s expectations and culture and address each of the following areas in a separate paragraph.
   a) Candidate’s major role(s) vis-à-vis department priorities.
   b) Comments on candidate’s teaching.
   c) Comments on candidate’s Research/Creative Projects
   d) Comments on candidate’s Service.
   e) Other dimensions/areas pertaining to candidate.

III. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

A. Evaluation procedures for the fourth year tenure review

1) The Dean will forward to the appropriate Domain P&T Subcommittee the strengths and weaknesses letters (years one through four) and the P&T vita for each fourth year candidate for tenure review. See Addendum 1 for the departmental assignment to the three domains.

2) Prior to discussions of an individual candidate’s materials, each member of the Domain P&T Subcommittee will review the candidate’s vita and strengths and weaknesses letters and complete the evaluation form.

3) A random list of candidates will be compiled by the Dean’s Office and distributed to subcommittee members. This random list will determine the order in which the credentials of candidates will be discussed.

4) Each candidate’s credentials will be discussed by the Domain P&T Subcommittee. The discussion will begin with introductory statements, not to exceed three minutes in length, by the department representative and will be followed by questions, answers and comments concerning the candidate’s qualifications for promotion, as well as information provided by the departmental representative. The discussion for each candidate will be limited to twenty minutes unless the committee votes (majority required) for a ten-minute extension. More than one such extension is possible if the Committee so votes. Following discussion the department representative may make concluding remarks not to exceed two minutes.

5) If a Domain P&T Subcommittee member is absent, the following procedure will be followed:
   a. Recordings of the Subcommittee’s deliberations are permitted if members of the Subcommittee are absent.
   b. Recordings are to be kept in the Dean’s Office.
   c. Recordings are to be destroyed after the final deliberations when other materials are shredded.
   d. No member of the Subcommittee is to record the Subcommittee’s deliberations in any electronic medium.
   e. Members of the Subcommittee are to add their personal notes to the material to be shredded at the end of deliberations.
   f. All materials pertaining to the Subcommittee’s deliberations, including recordings, are to be destroyed within five business days of the end of deliberations.

6) After the close of the discussions of all candidates and after reviewing each candidate’s record of teaching, scholarship, and professional service, voting will occur by a Subcommittee motion on
recommendation of progress toward tenure on each candidate. Approved motions are: satisfactory progress toward tenure, unsatisfactory progress toward tenure, or termination. Voting will be by paper ballot. A simple majority passes the motion.

7) The Domain P&T Subcommittee chair will write a recommendation letter for each candidate and, along with the vote counts, forward to the Dean. In cases where the Domain P&T Subcommittee is the first to make a negative recommendation, the Chair will write a strengths and weaknesses letter and forward to the Dean along with the recommendation and vote counts.

8) Any recommendation from the Domain P&T Subcommittee not receiving approval by the Dean shall be returned to the Subcommittee with reasons for the disapproval. A vote of at least two-thirds of the Subcommittee shall be required to override the disapproval after which the Dean must forward the recommendation.

9) The Dean will communicate the College’s final recommendation to the candidate, the Department P&T Chair, and Department Chair following all deliberations.

10) The academic Dean shall forward recommendations concerning the tenure credentials, including his or her evaluations, to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. The vote counts for each candidate from the College P&T Subcommittee will be included with the Dean’s recommendations. If the recommendations are not acceptable, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall consult with the academic Dean about the differences.

B. Evaluation procedures for tenure/promotion to Associate Professor and promotion to Professor

1) Prior to discussions of an individual candidate’s materials, each member of the College P&T Committee (committee of the whole) will review the candidate’s vita. Members from each of the three domains (Natural Sciences, Social Sciences, and Humanities) will study the supporting materials for candidates in their area and will serve as resource persons during the discussions. In the case of those being evaluated for tenure/promotion to Associate Professor, members from each domain will review the strengths and weaknesses letters for each candidate within their domain (not required for tenured assistant professors applying for promotion to associate professor). See Addendum 1 for the departmental assignment to the three sub-groups.

2) Two random lists of candidates will be compiled by the Dean’s Office and Chair of the College P&T Committee. These lists will include:
   a) candidates for tenure and/or promotion to Associate Professor
   b) candidates for promotion to Professor

3) The random lists will be distributed to Committee members and will determine the order in which the credentials of candidates will be discussed.

4) Discussion will begin with candidates for tenure and/or promotion to Associate Professor followed by discussion of candidates for promotion to Professor.

5) Each candidate’s credentials will be discussed by the Committee. The discussion will begin with a report summarizing the recommendation of the Department P&T Committee, not to exceed three minutes in length, by the department representative and will be followed by questions, answers and comments concerning the candidate’s qualifications for tenure and/or promotion as well as information provided by the resource persons and the departmental representative. The discussion for each candidate will be limited to twenty minutes unless the Committee votes (majority required) for a ten-minute extension. More than one such extension is possible if the Committee so votes. Following discussion the department representative may make concluding remarks not to exceed two minutes.

6) If a College P&T Committee member is absent, the following procedure will be followed:
   a. Recordings of the Committee’s deliberations are permitted if members of the Committee are absent.
   b. Recordings are to be kept in the Dean’s Office.
c. Recordings are to be destroyed after the final deliberations when other materials are shredded.
d. No member of the Committee is to record the Committee’s deliberations in any electronic medium.
e. Members of the Committee are to add their personal notes to the material to be shredded at the end of deliberations.
f. All materials pertaining to the Committee’s deliberations, including recordings, are to be destroyed within five business days of the end of deliberations.

7) After the close of discussions of all candidates for tenure and/or promotion to associate professor, the College Committee will have an open discussion that may include additional questions and clarification related to each candidate’s file. At the close of this open discussion, ballots will be given to members of the College Committee with candidates listed in alphabetical order. College Committee members are welcome to review vitae and supplemental materials again before completing the ballot. The ballots are to be turned in to the Dean’s Office by the close of office hours on the first Wednesday following the close of discussions.

8) The procedures in #7 above will also be applied to candidates for promotion to professor.

9) The Dean’s Office and College P&T Committee officers will compile the votes and create two lists, one for tenure and/or promotion to associate professor and one for promotion to professor. A recommendation for tenure and/or promotion requires a simple majority of affirmative votes. The Chair of the College P&T Committee will report the voting results (in two lists) to the Dean of the College. The Dean will review the voting results and submit the two voting results lists to the College P&T Committee and inform the committee of his agreement or disagreement with the vote results.

10) Any recommendations from the College P&T Committee not receiving approval by the Dean of the College shall be returned to the College P&T Committee with reasons for the disapproval. A vote of at least two-thirds of the College P&T Committee shall be required to override disapproval after which the Dean must forward the recommendation.

11) For the final meeting, members of the committee may provide a written proxy to any other member of the committee, with an authorization of the proxy filed in writing with the secretary.

12) The Dean of the College is instructed to mail letters to all persons whose names have been submitted for tenure/promotion by their department informing them of the committee’s recommendations.

13) For those candidates not being recommended favorably for tenure and/or promotion by the College Committee and/or the College Dean, despite having been recommended favorably by the department, the letter must include written statements delineating the candidate's strengths and weaknesses in each of the areas of 1) teaching, 2) scholarship, and 3) service in a professional capacity. The College Committee and/or the College Dean may also suggest areas for improvement.

14) The academic Dean shall forward recommendations concerning tenure and promotion, including his or her evaluations, to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. The vote counts for each candidate from the College P&T Committee will be included with the recommendations. If the recommendations are not acceptable, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall consult with the academic Dean about the differences.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NATURAL SCIENCES</th>
<th>SOCIAL SCIENCES</th>
<th>HUMANITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>Criminal Justice &amp; Criminology</td>
<td>History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Modern Languages &amp; Classics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological Sciences</td>
<td>Political Science</td>
<td>Philosophy &amp; Religious Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematical Sciences</td>
<td>Psychological Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources &amp;</td>
<td>Social Work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Management</td>
<td>Sociology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physics &amp; Astronomy</td>
<td>Speech Pathology &amp; Audiology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiology &amp; Health Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Approved by College P&T Committee – November 8, 2013*