


LAW AND POLICY REPORT
Thursday November 3, 2011
No. 406



[Raphael's "The School of Athens"](#)

The ASCA *Law and Policy Report (LPR)* is written by Gary Pavela and published weekly (except weeks of federal holidays; during the ASCA National Conference and Gehring Academy; from mid-December to mid-January; and the month of August). Copyright: ASCA and Gary Pavela: All rights reserved. Further transmission within ASCA member institutions is permitted, if the author and ASCA are credited as the source. Index, archives, and additional source materials will be available to ASCA members at www.theasca.org. The information and comments provided here are designed to encourage discussion and analysis. They represent the views of the authors (not ASCA) and do not constitute legal advice. For legal advice the services of an attorney in your jurisdiction should be sought. Some *LPR* articles by Gary Pavela may be concurrently published in *The Pavela Report*.

CALL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS: ASCA has a wealth of talented thinkers and writers. Please consider submitting an article to *LPR* on any relevant law and policy topic. Short, direct, and thoughtful essays (including statements of personal opinion) are welcome. There is no single "correct" view on the typical issues ASCA members encounter. We all benefit from a diversity of informed perspectives.

Editor's note: *LPR* is not published next week (week of a national holiday). Our next issue will be sent to members on November 17.

TOPICS IN THIS ISSUE

11.42 Advice from students to faculty members on protecting academic integrity

KEY QUOTATION: "A passing reference to academic integrity on the first day of class isn't sufficient. Reiteration highlights the importance of the topic. Initiate a short discussion or class e-mail on 'ways to avoid plagiarism' several weeks before a major paper is due."

11.42 ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

Advice from students to faculty members on protecting academic integrity

EDITOR'S NOTE: Last spring Syracuse University sponsored an academic integrity forum featuring presentations by student leaders from the University of Maryland, Princeton University, Vanderbilt University, and Syracuse University. Participants were:

- Shaun Gates, Honor Council Chair at the University of Maryland;
- Austin Elder, Honor Council Chair at Vanderbilt University;
- Pauline Nguyen, Honor Committee Vice Chair at Princeton University;
- Eric Montgomery, Syracuse University Academic Integrity Hearing Officer.

Each student leader offered specific suggestions on ways to protect and promote academic integrity. Their suggestions--edited by Gary Pavela--appear below. Please scroll to the end of this section for additional resources, including resources available at the Vanderbilt, Maryland, and Princeton academic integrity websites.

EARLY IN THE COURSE

[] Include a syllabus statement about University academic integrity standards.

[] Discuss academic integrity expectations on the first day of class. Share personal examples and perspectives highlighting why academic integrity is important to you.

[] Emphasize the mutual obligations of teachers and students. [See Professor Bill Taylor's "[Letter to my Students](#)" (designed to "show . . . students that academic integrity isn't something that we as faculty do to them, but it's a set of principles that bind all of us equally for the good of inquiry and learning")].

[] Ask students to discuss why academic integrity is important to them. Doing so helps break through an "us vs. them" (students vs. faculty) attitude toward academic integrity. Refer to relevant letters or published statements from student leaders (see e.g. Shaun Gates' "[Welcome from the University of Maryland Honor Council](#)") and a "[Letter to the Faculty](#)" from Andrew Canter (a previous University of Maryland Honor Council Chair).

Editor's note: Pauline Nguyen, honor committee vice chair at Princeton University, said the Princeton Honor Code arose out of student and faculty dissatisfaction with a

climate of widespread cheating in the late 1800s. The [Honor System website](#) at Princeton contains this overview:

Examinations at the College of New Jersey (as Princeton University was then known) in the late 19th-century were rife with cheating; students saw cheating as a way to outwit the faculty, while professors went to great lengths to uncover undergraduate cheating. Booth Tarkington '1893, as quoted in W. Joseph Dehner, Jr.'s 1970 paper, described this rivalry as a "*continuous sly warfare between the professor and the student.*" Crib sheets were common, as was sharing answers during examinations. Students who refused to collaborate were ridiculed. Reporting fellow students to the faculty was seen as dishonorable and out of the question for most students. Professors, on the other hand, would spend exams stalking the recitation rooms watching for any inconsistencies, and sometimes hired extra sets of eyes for the purpose of catching cheaters [italics added].

REMIND AND REITERATE

[] A passing reference to academic integrity on the first day of class isn't sufficient. Reiteration highlights the importance of the topic. Initiate a short discussion or class e-mail on "ways to avoid plagiarism" several weeks before a major paper is due. Consider use of an "honor pledge" on examinations and other academic work [see *TPR 08.27* "[Classroom honor codes](#)" and research cited therein about the impact of timely reminders]. The University of Maryland provides faculty members with this "[Question and Answer](#)" resource on the Honor Pledge.

BE PERSONAL

[] Discuss why you were attracted to your field or discipline. Why does the subject interest you? What questions or mysteries remain to be solved?

[] Discuss the academic challenges you encountered and the strategies you developed for success.

[] Invite discussion about the academic and ethical standards applicable to your discipline or profession.

[] Pay attention to students as individuals. Identify interests, strengths, and weakness. Urge students who are struggling to ask for help.

[] Participate on one hearing panel a year so you can discuss your personal insights with students about how the academic integrity system works.

[] Emphasize that cheating and plagiarism are a *breach of trust* with fellow students and with you.

PREVENTION: CHEATING

[] Don't reuse examinations.

- [] Don't use standard textbook homework exercises (answers are likely to be online).
- [] Change the design or wording of lab assignments from year to year.
- [] Provide an examination "cover sheet" with an academic integrity reminder and specific examination instructions (e.g. your policy on use of calculators; display or use of cell-phones; asking permission to use bathrooms, etc).
- [] Use assigned seating during examinations.
- [] Provide ample space between examination takers.
- [] Vary the order of questions on different copies of the same examination.
- [] Allow students to see graded examinations, but require that the examinations be returned to you at the end of class.
- [] Provide specific instructions about when collaboration is or is not permitted.
- [] Assume collaboration is likely to occur on any take home examination. Set time limits for take-home examinations keyed to when the questions are downloaded and answers submitted.
- [] Consider allowing students to use a legal "crib sheet" (specified size) during examinations. Doing so encourages students to think about, synthesize, and organize materials before the examination.

PREVENTION: PLAGIARISM

- [] Give students practical guidance on how plagiarism is defined and can be avoided. [Refer them to resources such as [The Harvard Guide to Using Sources](#) and the Syracuse University guidance "[What does Academic Integrity Mean?](#)"]
- [] Assign focused topics likely to be engaging to students.
- [] Use shorter and more frequent papers, including in-class writing exercises. Learn student writing styles.
- [] Have students submit components of major papers in stages (e.g. start with a proposal and outline).
- [] Review and comment on how students use citations.
- [] Ask students to "present" their papers and respond to questions in class.

RESPONDING TO INCIDENTS

- [] Use general words of caution when appropriate (e.g. "remember, there is to be no discussion during this examination").
- [] Allow students to complete challenged examinations, but make note of circumstances and

students sitting nearby.

[] Discuss your concerns privately and individually. Allow students to respond before reaching firm conclusions.

[] Initiate charges and decide cases sooner rather than later. Waiting for a pattern of repeated misconduct increases the likelihood more serious penalties will be imposed by a hearing panel.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

[Vanderbilt Center for Teaching](#)

A comprehensive list of published reports and recommendations on preventing academic dishonesty.

[The University of Maryland Honor Pledge](#)

Analysis and data on the usefulness of honor pledges

[Princeton Honor System](#)

Overview and history of the Princeton University Honor Code

[University of Washington: Preventing Cheating in Distance Education](#)

Suggested strategies and resources; see especially the Utah State University guide on [How to Prevent Cheating in Distance Education](#).

[University of California at Berkeley "Tools for Teaching"](#)

Detailed list of prevention strategies

POSTSCRIPT

"Hear the case before you decide it."

--[Judge Alfred P. Murrah](#), (U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit and Director of the Federal Judicial Center).

